## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

## NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES FILED

|  |   | 08 AUG -7 AM LLA This space for Stamp  |
|--|---|--|
| TO:  |   | GLERK, U.S. DISTRICT CONT. ECU   |
|  | U. S. DISTRIC<br>M: <u>K. Hammerly,</u>   | CT JUDGE / U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE  Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE:7/28/2008          |
|  | SE NO.: 08cv859   | DOCUMENT FILED BY:  Plaintiff Hennington, Jr.                                    |
| CASE TITLE: Hennington v. US Customs and Border Protection   |   |  |
| DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Conflict of Interest  |   |  |
| ,  |   |  |
| Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:                                    |   |  |
| 1  | Local Rule  | Discrepancy  |
|  | 5.1   | Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation                  |
|  | 5.3   | Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper                       |
|  | 5.4   | Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.       |
|  | 7.1 or 47.1   | Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely |
|  | 7.1 or 47.1   | Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document   |
|  | 7.1 or 47.1   | Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions                                   |
|  | 7.1   | Missing table of contents  |
|  | 15.1  | Amended pleading not complete in itself  |
| Ď  | 30.1  | Depositions not accepted absent a court order                                    |
|  |   | Supplemental documents require court order                                       |
|  |   | Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest                     |
| X  |   | OTHER: Improper Format   |
|  |   |  |
|  |   | <b>Date forwarded:</b> <u>7/29/2008</u>  |
| ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE<br>T IS HEREBY ORDERED:  |   |  |
| The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.  |   |  |
| The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk copy of this order on all parties. |   | •  |
|  |   |  |
|  | Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate?  Yes. Court Copy retained by chamb                  |  |
|  | Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant |  |
|  | to Local Rule 83.1  | CHAMBERS OF:   |
|  | Mc 17   | $\sim$ $\sim$ $\sim$ $\sim$  |
|  | Dated: cc: All Parties  | By:  |

Case 3:08-cv-00858-JAH-DSA9/Document & Filedys 127/381 Base 200859-JLS 1 July 27,08 the Defendants who live at 5170 Clair mont mesa BLVD

4 illeagaly used hiproris on me while

5 6 ) was sleep, that s carses me boseuse 8 I don't know what shelp put in my 2 2 10 Subconcious or took out? What I do N 11 know is NOV. 2006 case number GIC873566 13 13 Went into Default, I Filed request 50 14 for Default in this case six times 80 16 to was Rejected every time. 18 Conflict of Interist I only dismissed san Diego Harbor Police, Department 21 of Home and security and San Diego Regional Airport Authority. 50 me one stole a paper orbook 26 a paper and toRGED a 28 Dismissal tor the entire case Saving The port of